



Executive Committee Meeting

Minutes

Date: Tuesday, November 19, 2019

Time: ___4:00pm – 5:45pm_____

Location: ___ASI Conference Room 203__

Attendees: Executive Committee Members, General Public

➤ **Organizational Items:**

Call to order by: Chair Aaron Castaneda, ASI President @ __4:00_PM_____

b. Roll Call

P	Aaron Castaneda	<i>President</i>
P	Jacquelyn Acosta	<i>Vice President for Academic Governance</i>
P	Christopher Koo	<i>Vice President for Finance</i>
P	David San	<i>Secretary/Treasurer</i>
P	Teady Ochoa	<i>Vice President for Administration</i>
P	Joceline Rivera-Jimenez	<i>Vice President for External Affairs and Advancement</i>
P	Alexander Berry	<i>Chief Justice</i>
P	Anna Nguyen	<i>NSS College Rep</i>
P	Sathya Raminani	<i>NSS College Rep</i>
EA	Jorge Salazar	<i>B&E College Rep</i>
P	Dena	<i>Office Manager</i>
P	Christopher Johnson	<i>Staff Support</i>
P	Jen Miller	<i>University President's Designee</i>

c. Adoption of Agenda: Tuesday, November 19, 2019

Offered By:	Joceline	Seconded by:	David			
All in Favor	8	Opposed	0	Abstained	0	Motion: Passed

d. Approval of Minutes (action): Tuesday, November 5, 2019

Offered By:		Seconded by:	
--------------------	--	---------------------	--

- Tabled for next meeting

All in Favor		Opposed	0	Abstained	0	Motion: Passed
--------------	--	---------	---	-----------	---	----------------

II. Public Forum

- a. This time is allotted to members of the public to address the board regarding items not included on the meeting agenda. Speaker cards are available for those wanting to speak on agenda items as listed below. Those comments will be heard as the item is introduced.

N/A

III. Discussion Items

- a. **University Times collaboration: The UT will present a possible collaboration for the Q&A sessions for the upcoming ASI election cycle.**

- Wendy: Executives were concerned with the hostility the word 'debate' can have so I changed it to 'forum'. UT did ask if they can have their own questions, I spoke Damarea and we would have to overlook the questions before.
- UT: Due to our journalistic integrity the questions cannot be looked at before the event. The students can send their own questions but as a unbiased organizations that's how we would like to go about it. That is a deal breaker for us.
- Teady: I just want an overview of what this event is going to look like?
- UT: If you look at CNN that is the same model we would like to use. The hope is to collaborate with you all to make it more inclusive. I understand you guys like to have student outreach. We're trying really hard to get student outreach but we have the ability to do it on our own. We also have a moderator for the forum.
- Wendy: I felt like this would be a good idea because it gives students the opportunity to practice their skills.
- UT: Our major is still being produced and we've been pretty involved with it ourselves and contribute to it. The journal department has not attempted this where students can practice streaming LIVE. There are a lot of skills students of journalism can practice.
- Jackie: I like the idea of it but it is also a deal breaker for me if we cannot oversee the questions. Not necessarily because we want to control the questions, it's because we want to make sure it is a positive environment for everybody. The CNN model can get heated. We do not want other candidates to tear each other. I want the questions to be on topic.
- UT: We as journalist, we will not allow this to happen. The students of this university have questions that have not been answered. There are hard questions that will be asked. There will be difficult questions students have, our goal is not to have candidates fight each other we want more student involvement. We have a standard and our mentors. Professor Liz is our advisor.
- Damarea: What is the current view of UT.
- UT: We have around 16,000 on a regular week. The radio analytics depend on the time we are playing. We went from 800 to 1,300 followers on Instagram. We both our leaders in our organization and being more active on social media.
- Damarea: What is the vetting process for the questions?
- UT: We have not gotten to that point, the news editor will probably take on the crowd source questions and go through that list. Also, run them by our advisor. Our editorial board is made up 6-7 individuals.
- Jackie: I like the overall idea, bringing in journalism students and giving hands on experience. I just don't like the idea of giving UT the full control of the questions. I think there needs to be a compromise to make sure the questions are overviewed by one ASI member like elections commissioner.

- David: I stopped saying the UT and ASI collaborating less, if we are collaborating we should be able to put in our own questions.
- UT: We could also half and half the questions and just do that. We just need to touch based with the rest of the editorial board.
- Damarea: We need to elevate the partnerships and collaborations. How can we both be satisfied with the collaboration?
- Chris: I think it's important to remember this is a collaboration. She did say it was a deal breaker for her. You don't want other organizations to take full control or take the narrative of ASI events or our organization. It is important to really think this collaboration.
- Tedy: That's why I wanted to bring it up to the board before making any decision. I don't like that they want to control the event and creating a hostile environment.
- Jackie: It wasn't the perspective she brought up to last executive they probably changed it up on her. It is a deal breaker for me if they want full control of the questions. It is not an event for UT to take over. That's why we should have the option to vet-out of the event even after looking at the questions.
- Aaron: I think that is possible, quote me if I'm wrong, when we went to the presidential forum UCLA pulled out of the event.
- Jen: I want to know more about journalistic integrity because it is very vague. There is space for healthy collaborations. If there is a shared benefit for both then go for it.
- Damarea: The reason I asked for their following is because most of the students are not following the UT. We need to know what their vetting process is. We want to have a clear understanding of an agreement.
- Tedy: A.S.I./Moderator terms and agreement, Wendy came up with this because of this issue. Within we would like to terms on behalf of ASI, ASI has full authority to remove from the event, change or edit the questions provided by UT. Also, would like to use the forum instead of debate. Then again, their deal breaker was us controlling the questions.
- Aaron: She did realize that we need to compromise. I hope she takes it back to her board and have a discussion before we decide.
- Jackie: I know even though it does not come to executive, we should be able to see a document of how things are being formalized.
- Damarea: It is an opportunity and it determines what we do with that. We definitely do not want other people to control our narrative. But, we also do not want to kickback to be ASI does not want to collaborate.
 - b. 4th year quantitative reasoning requirement: The committee will discuss the latest developments of the quantitative reasoning requirement proposal and the letter of opposition of CSSA**
- Aaron: I have had a chance to report on the new updates. They are not voting on it until January, they updated the proposal. They expanded the exemptions, what could be those characteristics. They are now implementing it in 6 years. The opposition stance, this in my opinion, does not change anything. What is the point of having this proposal if you can have exemptions, you cannot have the board of trustees dictate. I want to remind them LAUSD changes their requirements after 11 years. Them saying it would take 7 years it would be impossible.
 - a. BOD Agenda: The committee will discuss items to be heard at the next BOD meeting.**
- Aaron: I'm still trying to finalize having the board in the wellness room.
- Jen: I read the list of demands in how they (the coalition) would feel about having the meeting there. It is a beautiful room. Up to you all.
- Tedy: Can we add policy 19th
- Dena: Are you expecting a full board meeting time wise?
- Aaron: Probably not.
- Jackie: My resolution
- Chris: We need to pass the 3&9 budget, it is not appropriate as an organization to hold it off. Given that there might be some contention about the budget I want to prioritize it.

Reports

b. ASI President: Aaron Castaneda

Aaron Castaneda: I'll keep this brief as possible. Notifying the committee I am in 3 search committees, I am on the ED, Children center director, and CSSA manager and finance. CSSA, attended only Saturday, not much to report there. Sunday, Jackie and I attended the presidential forum. It was a great opportunity for the campus. Final thing is no meeting next week. Enjoy thanksgiving week.

c. Vice President for Administration: Teady Ochoa

Teady Ochoa: Personnel agenda, UT, also working with Luz I just told her if I could participate with the voting center marketing. I have different goals to make it big. Also, have my military connected rep.

d. ASI Vice President for Finance: Christopher Koo

Christopher Koo: I have been working very hard on the budget and working with the chief justice on policy. We will be talking about that in the next finance.

e. ASI Vice President for External Affairs and Advancement: Jacquelyn Acosta

Jacquelyn Acosta: Finalized the menstrual resolution. Going to be meeting about the ICE and Border Patrol resolution. I do have a layout in points I want them to include. Aaron and I did meet with admin for open deliberation. I'm meeting with Lisa Chavez. I went CSSA and Academic Senate.

f. Vice President for External Affairs and Advancement: Joceline Rivera Jimenez

Joceline Rivera Jimenez:.

g. ASI Secretary / Treasurer: David San

David San: Gathering minutes and agendas.

h. Intern Executive:

Christopher Johnson: N/A

i. Designee: Jen Miller

Jen Miller: I will be at the CSU, there is a training I do for faculty chairs here in how to work with distressed students and they recommended I do that for all system of chairs. I'll stay in touch.

➤ **Adjournment:**

Offered By:	Jacquelyn Acosta	Sec onded by:	Christopher Koo				
Motioned to adjourn the meeting at 4:51 pm							
All in Favor	8	Opposed	0	Abstained	0	Motion:	Passed